UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/698,756	10/31/2003	Alan Shibata	200206094-1	1819
22879 HEWLETT PA	7590 01/24/200 CKARD COMPANY	EXAMINER		
P O BOX 272400, 3404 E. HARMONY ROAD INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY ADMINISTRATION FORT COLLINS, CO 80527-2400			WILLS, MONIQUE M	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			. 1745	
SHOPTENED STATISTOP	V BERIOD OF RESPONSE	MAN DATE		
SHURTENED STATUTOR	Y PERIOD OF RESPONSE	MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
31 D	PAYS	01/24/2007	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

	•		
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
Office Antique Communication	10/698,756	SHIBATA ET AL.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	_
	Monique M. Wills	1745	
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with	the correspondence address	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DOWN THE MAILING DOWN THE MORE AND A STATE OF THE	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICA 36(a). In no event, however, may a repl will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTH , cause the application to become ABAN	ATION. y be timely filed S from the mailing date of this communication. IDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status .		·	
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 10 N	ovember 2006.		
2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) This	action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for allowar	nce except for formal matter	s, prosecution as to the merits is	
closed in accordance with the practice under E	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D.	11, 453 O.G. 213.	
Disposition of Claims			
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-47</u> is/are pending in the application.			
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw			
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.			
6) Claim(s) is/are rejected.			
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.			
8) Claim(s) <u>1-47</u> are subject to restriction and/or e	election requirement.		
Application Papers			
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	r	·	
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ acce		the Examiner.	
Applicant may not request that any objection to the			
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct	- · ·	• •	
11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer. Note the attached C	Office Action or form PTO-152.	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 1	19(a)-(d) or (f).	
1. Certified copies of the priority documents	s have been received		
2. Certified copies of the priority documents		lication No	
3.☐ Copies of the certified copies of the prior			
application from the International Bureau		·	
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list	of the certified copies not re	ceived.	
Attachment(s)			
) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Sum		
(PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)		fail Date mal Patent Application	
Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6) Other:	••	

Application/Control Number: 10/698,756

Art Unit: 1745

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

- I. Claims 1-11, drawn to a fuel cartridge, classified in class 206,subclass 96.
- II. Claims 12–38 & 45–47, drawn to a fuel cell, classified in class 429, subclass 30.
- III.. Claims 39-44, drawn to a method of making a battery recharge system, classified in class 429, subclass 12.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

Inventions I and II are unrelated. Inventions are unrelated if it can be shown that they are not disclosed as capable of use together and they have different designs, modes of operation, and effects (MPEP § 802.01 and § 806.06). In the instant case, the different inventions have different effects. Invention I stores fuel. Invention II generates electricity.

Inventions I and III are unrelated. Inventions are unrelated if it can be shown that they are not disclosed as capable of use together and they have

Art Unit: 1745

different designs, modes of operation, and effects (MPEP § 802.01 and § 806.06). In the instant case, the different inventions have different effects.

Invention I stores fuel. Invention III fabricates electrochemical cells recharge systems.

Inventions II and III are unrelated. Inventions are unrelated if it can be shown that they are not disclosed as capable of use together and they have different designs, modes of operation, and effects (MPEP § 802.01 and § 806.06). In the instant case, the different inventions have different effects. Invention II generates electricity. Invention III fabricates electrochemical cells recharge systems.

Because these inventions are independent or distinct for the reasons given above and there would be a serious burden on the examiner if restriction is not required because the inventions have acquired a separate status in the art due to their recognized divergent subject matter, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

This restriction requirement is to rejoin non-elected claims 45-46 to the fuel cell device. Upon further consideration, it appears as though the claims were erroneously grouped in the first restriction requirement.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include (i) an election of a species or invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143) and (ii) identification of the claims encompassing the elected invention.

The election of an invention or species may be made with or without traverse. To reserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does not distinctly and specifically point out supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election shall be treated as an election without traverse.

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the inventions or species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the inventions or species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C.103(a) of the other invention.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the Examiner should be directed to Monique Wills whose telephone number

Application/Control Number: 10/698,756

Art Unit: 1745

is (571) 272-1309. The Examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday

Page 5

from 8:30am to 5:00 pm.

If attempts to reach Examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the Examiner's supervisor, Patrick Ryan, may be reached at 571-272-1292. The fax

phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is

assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from

the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information

for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public

PAIR.

Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private

PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-

direct.uspto.gov.Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR

system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-

free).

MW

1/20/06

GRESO CANTELMO
PRIMATE EXAMINER

for PAT RYAN

1/22/07